UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,707	08/25/2006	Michitaka Sato	2006_1414A	3825
513 7590 06/25/2008 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800			LEESER, ERICH A	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary  Examiner Erich A. Leeser  1624  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence and Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cc Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 March 2008.  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-25 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.	30) DAYS,				
Erich A. Leeser  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence ad Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 March 2008.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-25 is/are pending in the application.	30) DAYS,				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence and Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (36 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 March 2008.  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final.  3) Insce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-25 is/are pending in the application.	30) DAYS,				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 March 2008.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-25 is/are pending in the application.	30) DAYS,				
<ul> <li>WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> <li>Status</li> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 March 2008.</li> <li>2a) Responsive to communication is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> <li>Disposition of Claims</li> <li>4) Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-25 is/are pending in the application.</li> </ul>	communication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 March 2008</u> .  2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b> 4) Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-25</u> is/are pending in the application.	e merits is				
<ul> <li>2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> <li>Disposition of Claims</li> <li>4) Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-25 is/are pending in the application.</li> </ul>	e merits is				
<ul> <li>2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> <li>Disposition of Claims</li> <li>4) Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-25 is/are pending in the application.</li> </ul>	e merits is				
<ul> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> <li>Disposition of Claims</li> <li>4) Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-25 is/are pending in the application.</li> </ul>	e merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-25 is/are pending in the application.					
Disposition of Claims  4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-25</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-25</u> is/are pending in the application.					
·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,6,8-11,13-16 and 23-25</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-5,12 and 17-22</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
·· _ ·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PT	ΓΟ-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  *Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date					

Art Unit: 1624

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-6 and 8-25 are currently pending and under examination. This is the second action on the merits and it is in response to Applicant's Remarks and Amended Claims dated March 3, 2008 in which Applicant cancelled claim 7.

## Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. § 112

Examiner previously rejected claims 1 and 17 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention.

(a) Specifically, Examiner rejected claim 1 because the claim language is unclear as to the number or range of atoms included in the "remainder of the group" of variable group B. Applicant's have provided extensive argument as to what the inventors knew and didn't know at the time the application was filed and a declaration from one of the inventors. The only thing that Applicant submitted that in any way answers Examiner's rejection is the twelve moieties provided by Applicant on page 15 of the Remarks. Examiner currently maintains this rejection, but would drop the rejection if Applicant replaced the indefinite and ambiguous present definition of Ar:



with the structure of these twelve moieties:

Art Unit: 1624

in claim 1 if there is

support in the application as filed.

(b) Examiner rejected claim 17 because "therapeutic method of irritable [bowel] syndrome" was unclear for a multiplicity of reasons. Based on Applicant's claim amendment, however, Examiner withdraws this rejection.

Examiner previously rejected claims 16-22 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement because the specification does not enable the instant compounds to treat irritable bowel syndrome, depression and the other diseases and conditions listed in claim 16 comprising administering a therapeutically-effective amount of a compound of formula (I) or enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention.

Examiner now withdraws this rejection based on Applicant limiting these claims to the treatment of irritable bowel syndrome only, Applicant's *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays in the specification, and the journal articles showing the correlation between this class of compounds and the treatment of irritable bowel syndrome.

Art Unit: 1624

## Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. § 103

Examiner previously rejected claims 1-2, 7, 9-11, 13 and 15 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsuoka, et al., Canada Patent No. 2431406.

Applicant argues that the amendment of claim 1 removing hydrogen from the definition of X<sup>1</sup> removes the reference from being an obvious variant of the instant claims. Examiner disagrees and maintains the rejection because X<sup>1</sup> can still be lower alkyl and hydrogen and methyl are homologs of one another. It is well-established that the substitution of methyl for hydrogen on a known compound is not a patentable modification absent unexpected or unobvious results. *In re Wood*, 199 USPQ 137 (CCPA 1978) and *In re Lohr*, 137 USPQ 548, 549 (CCPA 1963). The motivation to make the claimed compounds derives from the expectation that structurally similar compounds would possess similar activity.

Examiner previously rejected claims 1, 6, 8-11, 13-15 and 23-25 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Modica et al., *High Potent and Selective Arylpiperazine*Derivatives as Ligands for the 5-HT1A Receptor, Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters, 10(10), 1089-1092 (2000).

Applicant argues that the compounds in the reference cited by Examiner "have a pyrimidine ring at their terminal" and Applicant's testing has indicated that such compounds are "weak in 5-HT<sub>3</sub> antagonistic activity, and can be said to be unsuitable for the treatment of IBS which is to be effectively treated by the cooperation of 5-HT<sub>1A</sub> agonistic activity and 5-HT<sub>3</sub> antagonistic activity.

Art Unit: 1624

Examiner finds this use argument unpersuasive if the compounds of the reference are obvious variants of the compounds of the instant claims. Examiner notes that pyrimidine is not one of the twelve moieties provided by Applicant on page 15 of the Remarks. If Applicant amends the claims as suggested by Examiner, *supra*, then the reference would not read on the rejected claims.

Examiner previously rejected claims 1, 6-7, 9-11, 13-16 and 23-25 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guccione, et al., 3D-QSAR Using "Multiconformer" Alignment: The Use of HASL in the Analysis of 5-HT1A Thienopyrimidinone Ligands, Journal of Computer-Aided Molecular Design, 14(7), 647-657 (2000).

Applicant essentially makes the same arguments with regards to this reference as with Modica et al., *High Potent and Selective Arylpiperazine Derivatives as Ligands for the 5-HT1A Receptor*, Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters, 10(10), 1089-1092 (2000).

As such, Examiner's response is the same with regards to this reference and maintains the rejection.

## Claim Objections

Claims 3-5, 12, and 17-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected independent claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claims and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1624

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Examiner should be directed to Erich A. Leeser whose telephone number is 571-272-9932. The

Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:30 to 6:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's

supervisor, Mr. James O. Wilson can be reached at 571-272-0661. The fax number for the

organization where this application is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) toll-free at 866-217-9197. If you

would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the

automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Erich A. Leeser/

/James O. Wilson/

**Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1624** 

Erich A. Leeser

Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1624 United States Patent and Trademark Office

400 Dulany Street, Remsen 5C11 Alexandria, VA 22314-5774

Tel. No.: (571) 272-9932

James O. Wilson

Supervisory Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1624 United States Patent and Trademark Office

400 Dulany Street, Remsen 5A11

Alexandria, VA 22314-5774

Tel. No.: (571) 272-0661